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#### Abstract

The analyze of the dynamic behavior is the more important problem to solve in Robotics. By determined the best dynamic parameters like answer time, transitory time, short oscillation time, will be assured the movements without vibrations, without salts of the forces and movements vectors that amplifying the imprecision and stability of the robot's arm movements. It is more important that the variation of the velocities and acceleration to be minimum to determine also the minimum of the forces and moments.


Index Terms—Assisted analyze, dynamic behavior, assisted platform.

## I. Introduction

The current research as well as the analyzed assisted platforms have focused on tracing the various characteristics of speeds, accelerations, forces and moments or on the assisted tracing of 3D trajectories without making a detailed analysis of the causes that lead to a behavior, from a dynamic point of view, very bad, without indicating the constructive functional parameters that predominantly influence the variation of forces and moments, determine vibrations of the end-effector. The analyzed software [6-15] confirmed that the current researches did not cover the entire field of analysis, i.e. the influence of the dimensions of the bodies on the variation of the kinetic moment was not analyzed, it was not analyzed how the parameters of the speed characteristics influence the variations of speed, acceleration, in finally Coriolis forces and moments. From the literature could remarks that many design methods begins with dimensioning its various links to meet performance specifications, most of them without assisted analyze them. One new concept so called "manipulability" was introduced by Yoshikawa [1] as measure the ability of robotic mechanisms in positioning and orienting end effecters. Other new kinematic parameters was introduced by Asada [2] so called "inertia ellipsoid" as a parameter to measure the capability to change the end effecter velocity. In [3], was introduced the concept of "homogeneous space" to design the concept of characteristic length. Other kinematic performance that affect the shape of the workspace is the so called "stroke" that mince the offset between maximum reach and minimum reach of the end effecter. Ma and Angeles [4] showed how could be optimizing the architecture of a manipulator under dynamic isotropy conditions and show one new forward methods which focus on the kineto- static optimization of manipulators [5].
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The simulation of a robot system is the more important way to show what is happened when where changed the some robot's dimensions, velocities, the space 3D trajectory, the acceleration time, the variation of the kinetic moment. RoKiSim [6] is a free multi-platform educational software tool for 3D simulation of serial six-axis robots developed at the Control and Robotics Lab of the École de technologie supérieure, Montreal, Canada visualize by using the DenavitHartenberg parameters [7], all possible robot configurations and solutions of the inverse kinematics for a given position of the end-effector and orientations in any Euler angle conventions (such as FANUC Robotics, KUKA Robotics, Stäubli, and Adept Technology), as well as in unit quaternions (used by ABB Robotics). RobotStudio software employs, the real robot program what could change controller and the configuration file that are identical to the real one. Other simulation products like WorkspaceLt [8], Gazebo [9], Open Dynamics Engine [10], RoboticSimulation [11], SimRobot [12], RoboAnalyzer [13], NI-Robotics [14], RoboNaut [15], Bullet Physics [16], NVidia PhysX [17] or DART [18]. In other papers [19-28] authors show some characteristics and solve direct and inverse kinematics problem and also the direct and inverse dynamic problem, but without show the mathematical matrix model and without analyze how could be influenced the forces and moments variation by different velocity variations or to have the possibility to choose the parameters of the trapezoidal velocity characteristics to obtain the minimum variation of these forces and moments between some studied cases.

After were analyzed state of art in the field of Kinematics of robot, the papers [1-28] we can do the following remarks: (i) many of the researchers not used the mathematical matrix form in positions, velocities, accelerations, forces and moments; (ii) the kinematic behavior analyze not used the trapezoidal characteristics with the different origin of time for each movement and other characteristic parameters like: the acceleration and deceleration time, the constant value of velocity that in proposed software platform was calculated by using the space movement in each joints that will be established; (iii) the assisted kinematic analyze proposed by
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this paper have the possibility to change the different parameters of the velocity characteristics: constant value of velocity, the movement time of cycle; (iv) with this new software platform will be possible to study how was influenced the velocity variation when were changed some of the velocity characteristic, with the final goal to obtain one minimum of end-effecter velocity variation; (v) in the paper was shown one way to optimize the variation of all kinematic and dynamic parameters and choose the best solution of the movement type: simultaneously, successive and complex, using the proper pounder theory.

## II. Description of the Proper Platform

## A. Description of the front panel of the platform

We can see on the front panel of the proper platform ROBO-PVAFM the following modules for each DOF: one cluster for the dimensions, material and the filling coefficient for each body, one cluster for the velocity's parameters, one matrix with two dimensions for position vector of each robot's joints, cluster with information about the type of the movement, direction of the movement, the home position, the position of the couple regarding the principle of action and reaction in the forces equilibrium, in each joints, the column matrices for the G and Z matrices that define the position in the space of each robot's joints, the robot image with the Cartesian systems and associated graph of the robot structure.


Fig.1. Front panel of the proper platform ROBO-PVAFM

## B. Description of the obtained results

The results consist in obtain the positions, velocities, accelerations, forces and moments 2D and 3D characteristics variation vs. time. The results contents also the variation of the angle between these vectors vs. the base to determine the maximal variations of them. After were analyzed these results, fig.2, we can do the following remarks: (i) to see the 3D characteristic of the end-effecter position we can avoid the singular points and could establishing 3D working space; (ii) with 3D variations of the angular and linear velocities and accelerations we can determine the minimum variations of them; (iii) we can do the assisted analyze of the 3D variations of forces and moments by changing some constructive and functional parameters; (iv) by determining the number of
forces and moments oscillation in the space we can establishing the forced vibrations of the robot.


Fig.2. Some results of the used platform ROBO-PVAFM for the assisted analyze

## C. Advantages to use this platform

After were compared the proper proposed ROBO-PVAFM software platform to other similar platforms, we can do the following remarks: (i) this platform cover the possibility to analyze the variation of positions ( P ), velocities (V), accelerations (A), forces (F) and moments (M) in different conditions movements: successive, simultaneously or combination of them; (ii) the platform, by using the matrices of the incidence body-joints G and matrix of the incidence joints- bodies Z, cover the analyze of the joints position to the dynamic behavior; (iii) influence of presence or absence of the manipulated object in end- effecter; (iv) the influence of the change of the body's material and dimensions to the dynamic behavior was analyzed; (v) by using the cluster for each trapezoidal characteristics with the velocities parameters is possible to analysis haw influence each of them the dynamic behavior; (vi) the platform offers the possibility to see haw will be influenced each dynamic parameters by the constructive and functional parameters; (vii) the platform could be used to perform the inertia tensor of each robot's module. The platform cover the assisted analyze of the robots Arm, Scale, Cartesian, Portal and Gun robots. In the future, this platform will be designed for the analyze of multi robots application and for the animation of them.

## III. Some Characteristics of Moments

The moments characteristics were shown for different change of the constructive- functional parameters like: velocities, length of some bodies, position of some joints, the movements in up or down direction. Some of these characteristics are shown in figs. 3 and 4.

b- Down movement
Fig.3. The variation of moments in all joints of the Arm type robot with bodies from aluminum and when the length of the bodies is 0.6 m , in the simultaneously movements $0-0-0-0$ in up/down direction

a- Up movement

b- Down movement
Fig.4. The variation of moments in all joints of the Arm type robot with bodies from steel and when the length of the bodies is 0.6 m , in the simultaneously movements $0-0-0-0$ in up and down direction.

b- With object
Fig.5. The variation of moments in all joints of the Arm type robot with bodies from steel and when the length of the bodies is 0.6 m , in the simultaneously movements $0-0-0-0$, with/without object.

## IV. Assisted Analyze

In order to analyze the way in which various constructivefunctional parameters influence the dynamic behavior, the method of tracing the characteristics of the variations of active moment in the joints and comparing the obtained characteristics was used. The assisted research platform was developed using LabVIEW software version 14.0. The front panel of the software platform, fig. 1 includes both the input data and the obtained results. These characteristics will be the basis for the assisted analysis of the various influences of the constructive-functional parameters on the variation of the active moments in the joints. In this paper were analyzed only the variation of active moments but they have possibility to analyze all these kinematic and dynamic parameters. The results of analyze of moment were changed the type of movements is shown in table I and fig..

TABLE I- THE WEIGHT THEORY APPLIED TO THE MOMENTS $\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Movement } & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 & \\ 0-0-0-0 & 38,8235294 & 46,6666667 & 51,5789474 & 80 & 65,7894737 & 52,6315789 & 335,490196\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}0-2-4-6 & 100 & 93,3333333 & 84,4827586 & 88,8888889 & 86,2068966 & 47,6190476 & 500,530925\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-3-6-9 & 94,2857143 & 100 & 89,0909091 & 100 & 83,3333333 & 46,5116279 & 513,221585\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-0-2-2 & 82,5 & 87,5 & 100 & 88,8888889 & 100 & 52,6315789 & 511,520468\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-2-0-2 & 50,7692308 & 48,2758621 & 65,3333333 & 94,1176471 & 65,7894737 & 48,7804878 & 373,066035\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}2-2-0-0 & 41,25 & 70 & 61,25 & 88,8888889 & 45,4545455 & 41,6666667 & 348,510101\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}2-0-2-0 & 67,3469388 & 100 & 81,6666667 & 80 & 62,5 & 100 & 491,513605\end{array}$ 0-0.1-0.2-
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}0.3 & 63,4615385 & 73,6842105 & 75,3846154 & 88,8888889 & 83,3333333 & 44,4444444 & 429,197031\end{array}$


Fig.6. The moments variation vs. type of movements
A. Influences of the robot's length to the dynamic behavior

|  |  | $12=1.0 m$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-0-0-0$ | 335,490196 | 341,547831 |
| $0-2-4-6$ | 500,530925 | 482,297832 |
| $0-3-6-9$ | 513,221585 | 456,629318 |
| $0-0-2-2$ | 511,520468 | 483,240793 |
| $0-2-0-2$ | 373,066035 | 412,49269 |
| $2-2-0-0$ | 348,510101 | 374,77393 |
| $2-0-2-0$ | 491,513605 | 482,72449 |
| $0-0.1-0.2-$ |  |  |
| 0.3 | 429,197031 | 402,432377 |



Series 1- without change the lengths; series 2 - where was changed $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$; Fig.7. The moments variation when was changed the $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$ length.


Fig.8. The moments variation without any change and when were changed the $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$, respectively $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{l}_{3}$ length

## B. Influences of the robot's velocities, accelerations, forces and moments to the dynamic behavior

TABLE II- THE WEIGHT THEORY APPLIED TO THE VELOCITIES, ACCELERATIONS, FORCES AND MOMENTS VARIATION VS. THE TYPE OF MOVEMENTS

| type of mov | velocities | acceleration | forces | moments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-0-0-0 | 951,878 | 1347,87 | 543,74 | 335,490196 | 3.178,978 |
| 0-2-4-6 | 884,200 | 1.328 | 480,78 | 500,530925 | 3.193,361 |
| 0-3-6-9 | 886,285 | 1344,83 | 498,12 | 513,221585 | 3.242,457 |
| 0-0-2-2 | 954,656 | 1288 | 480,65 | 511,520468 | 3.234,826 |
| 0-2-0-2 | 931,021 | 1376,56 | 491,57 | 373,066035 | 3.172,217 |
| 2-2-0-0 | 938,436 | 1288,16 | 757,57 | 348,510101 | 3.332,676 |
| 2-0-2-0 | 1.055,057 | 1201,55 | 637,73 | 491,513605 | 3.385,851 |
| 0-0.1-0.2-0.3 | 843,805 | 1279,16 | 524,25 | 429,197031 | 3.076,412 |
| 4000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35003000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\longrightarrow$ Series1 Series2 $\longrightarrow$ Series3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $-\mathrm{S}$ | eries4 - S |  |  |  |

series1- velocities; series 2- accelerations; series 3-forces; series

> 4- moments; series 5- sum of them

Fig.9. The variation of velocities, accelerations, forces, moments and sum of them versus the type of movements

After were comparative analyzed the figs.6-9 we can do the following remarks: (i) the movement $0-0-2-2$ is more efficiently from dynamic behavior point of view, when were changed the lengths of bodies or without these change; (ii) the sum of all influences variation of velocities, accelerations, forces and moments determine the best solution of movement to be 2-0-2-0; (iii) variation of the velocities determine the best solution of the movement to be 2-0-2-0; (iv) variation of the accelerations determine the best solution of movement to
be $0-2-0-2$; ( v ) variation of the forces determine the best solution of movement to be 2-2-0-0; (vi) variation of the moments determine the best solution of the movement to be $2-0-2-0$; (vii) in the sum of influences the more important is the variation of velocities and moments, have the same influence to choose the best solution of the movement 2-0-20 , that mince the first and thread movement of joints is both successive after the second and four joints; (viii) the variation of the moments determine the best solution of movement to be 0-3-6-9, the successive movements in all joints with one second of break, but the increasing the length $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$ of the second robot body and also $\boldsymbol{l}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{l}_{3}$, determine the best movement to be 0-0-2-2.

## C. Influences of the materials and other dimensions to the dynamic behavior

|  | alumin. $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}>0.4$ | cast iron $A, B>0.4$ | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-0-0-0 | 336,7521368 | 265,0877193 | 601,83986 |
| 0-2-4-6 | 247,6960784 | 277,421638 | 525,11772 |
| 0-3-6-9 | 247,6960784 | 275,410628 | 523,10671 |
| 0-0-2-2 | 265,3735632 | 311,6666667 | 577,04023 |
| 0-2-0-2 | 287,178105 | 309,2577031 | 596,43581 |
| 2-2-0-0 | 259,8978495 | 241,4957983 | 501,39365 |
| 2-0-2-0 | 338,7055567 | 333,3333333 | 672,03889 |
| 0-0.1-0.2-0.3 | 268,4461153 | 320,3508772 | 588,79699 |



Fig.10.The results after was applied the weight theory to the moments variations vs. all base dimensions of the robot's bodies.

|  | steel | alumin. | cast iron | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-0-0-0 | 220,15233 | 225,72537 | 354,16667 | 1020,1967 |
| 0-2-4-6 | 275,95238 | 290,12987 | 234,8062 | 1076,8408 |
| 0-3-6-9 | 314,28571 | 300,5848 | 224,90385 | 1154,0601 |
| 0-0-2-2 | 293,12997 | 333,33333 | 255,80294 | 1175,3962 |
| 0-2-0-2 | 270,26132 | 295,12987 | 232,98327 | 1068,6358 |
| 2-2-0-0 | 283,09091 | 226,34921 | 283,21429 | 1075,7453 |
| 2-0-2-0 | 296,91769 | 298,91534 | 244,26332 | 1137,014 |
| 0-0.1-0.2-0.3 | 272,39737 | 300,89717 | 209,73758 | 1055,4295 |



Fig.11. The results after was applied the weight theory to the moments variation vs. all materials of the robot's bodies.
After was analyzed the simulation results obtained by using the proper platform ROBO-PVAFM we can do the following remarks: (i) the best solution of the movements when were changed the base dimensions of all robot's bodies is the
successive movements 2-0-2-0 two by two joints; (ii) for the steel material of all bodies the best solution is the successive movements with 1 second break between them, 0-3-6-9; (iii) for the aluminum materials of all bodies the best solution is successive and simultaneously movements two by two 2-0-20 ; (iv) for the cast iron the best solution is the simultaneously movement $0-0-0-0$; (v) the optimal solution from the point of view of dynamic behavior and which does not depend on the material of the structure is 0-0-2-2 .

## D. Influences of the position bodies-joints and jointsbodies, $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ matrices of the joints to the dynamic behavior

TABLE III. Min-Max Variation of Moments in Studied Cases

| Moment | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ | $<$ | modul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simultaneously movement, joint position 2 and 3 were changed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 6000 | 14000 | 2250 | 20 | 8000 |
| Q4 | 6000 | 8000 | 3000 | 40 | 3000 |
| Simultaneously movement, joints in initial condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 6000 | 15000 | 4500 | 35 | 4000 |
| Q4 | 5500 | 4000 | 2250 | 40 | 3000 |
| Successive movement, joints in initial condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 5000 | 10000 | 3200 | 30 | 6900 |
| Q4 | 4000 | 3000 | 1600 | 40 | 2500 |
| Successive movement after 0.1s, joints in initial conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 4000 | 15000 | 4500 | 35 | 7000 |
| Q4 | 5000 | 4000 | 2050 | 40 | 2500 |
| Successive movement and simultaneously movement after 1.9s, joints |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 5000 | 11000 | 3300 | 35 | 6000 |
| Q3 | initial conditions |  |  |  |  |
| Q4 | 4000 | 3000 | 1600 | 40 | 2500 |
| Successive movement with 1s break, joints in initial conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | 6500 | 10500 | 3300 | 30 | 6000 |
| Q4 | 4000 | 3000 | 1600 | 40 | 2500 |

In the table III was shown the synthetic results concerning the maximum variation of the moments components by ox, oy, oz axes, variation of the moments module vectors and angles with the base plane of robot. With the blue color is the minimum variation of the $\boldsymbol{Q}_{3}$ moment and with yellow the minimum variation of the $\boldsymbol{Q}_{4}$ moment. After were analyzed all simulation results, we can do the following remarks: (i) the best solution with the minimum variation of the moment in the end-effector joint $\boldsymbol{Q}_{4}$ will be the successive movement with one second break between them, 0-3-6-9; (ii) the best solution for the minimum variation of the moment in the joint three $\boldsymbol{Q}_{3}$ will be the simultaneously movement, $0-0-0-0$ and after was changed the position join the joints 2 and 3 ; this changed position change the sign in the $\boldsymbol{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ matrices, body-joint and joint-body; (iii) the best solutions for the minimum variation of the moments vectors module in joint 3 , $\boldsymbol{Q}_{3}$ and in joint $4, \boldsymbol{Q}_{4}$ are the simultaneously movement 0-0-00 , and respectively successive movements 0-2-4-6; (iv) the all successive movement $0-2-4-6$ determine increasing the proper frequency of the end-effecter by induced the acceleration oscillations; (v) between the analyzed cases of simultaneously movement $0-0-0-0$ with joint 2 and 3 in a new body-joint position and in the initial positions, the best solution for $\boldsymbol{Q}_{3}$ is the case with joint 2 and 3 in new body-joint position, and for $\boldsymbol{Q}_{4}$ is the case without any changes of joints 2 and 3.

## V. Conclusion

The design of the proper new platform for assisted analyze of the dynamic behavior of industrial robots open the way to optimize the performances in Robotics. The assisted analyze
and the results shown in the paper could be a great opportunity for the researchers in this field. Without using assisted research will be not possible to solve the difficult problem of the optimization in Robotics to touch the extreme precision of the end-effector in the space, without vibration and collisions.
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